

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP  
B. Trent Webb, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
Eric Buresh, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
2555 Grand Boulevard  
Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613  
Telephone: (816) 474-6550  
Facsimile: (816) 421-5547  
[bwebb@shb.com](mailto:bwebb@shb.com)  
[eburesh@shb.com](mailto:eburesh@shb.com)

Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
600 Travis Street, Suite 1600  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Telephone: (713) 227-8008  
Facsimile: (731) 227-9508  
[rreckers@shb.com](mailto:rreckers@shb.com)

GREENBERG TRAURIG  
Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)  
Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888)  
Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229)  
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway  
Suite 400 North  
Las Vegas, NV 89169  
Telephone: (702) 792-3773  
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002  
[tratosm@gtlaw.com](mailto:tratosm@gtlaw.com)  
[roosb@gtlaw.com](mailto:roosb@gtlaw.com)  
[godfrey1@gtlaw.com](mailto:godfrey1@gtlaw.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
*RIMINI STREET, INC. and SETH RAVIN*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC. , a Nevada corporation;  
SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO PERMIT DEFENDANTS  
TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
PRESERVATION ORDER AND  
DECLARATION OF ROBERT H.  
RECKERS IN SUPPORT OF  
OPPOSITION**


I. **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pending before this Court is Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin (together “Rimini Street” or “Defendants”) Administrative Motion to Permit Defendants to File Under Seal Defendants’ Opposition to Oracle’s Motion for Preservation Order (“Motion”), the Declaration of Robert H. Reckers (“Declaration”), and Exhibit G (“Exhibit”) thereto. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been shown and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Defendants’ Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the Declaration and Exhibit.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: September 9, 2010

By:   
Peggy A. Leen  
United States Magistrate Judge